

| Submitted Time | Name:              | Meeting Date: | Comment Type         | Agenda Item | Comments:  |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| 8/4/2020 10:09 | PRISCILLA DIOQUINO | 8/6/2020      | City Council Comment | 601         | Hi, I'm a mother and environmentalist living in Bankers Hill and I'm concerned about the upcoming franchise renewal agreement. We need commitment on the franchisee's part to achieve 100% clean, renewable energy for our community. There needs to be a community oversight committee as well as a high bid minimum so they are indebted to the best practices. Thanks.  |
| 8/4/2020 10:31 | Wendy Mihalic      | 8/6/2020      | City Council Comment | 601         | My name is Wendy Mihalic. As a La Mesa resident, a city that has partnered with San Diego for Community Choice Energy, I am keenly interested in the terms of a new utility franchise agreement that will determine how effectively and efficiently our clean energy will be delivered to San Diegans. I am particularly interested in terms for accountability, public transparency and funding for climate initiatives that will ensure we meet our legally obligated GHG emission reduction targets. Specifically: The Agreement should require: that the minimum bid be paid by shareholders in cash; half of the minimum bid is to be allocated to the Climate Equity Fund/CAP Fund; audits every two years with penalty for violation of terms and, finally, a utility partner who shares our commitment to achieve 100% clean energy. |
| 8/4/2020 12:53 | Carin Howard       | 8/6/2020      | City Council Comment | 601         | I am a resident of the South Park neighborhood, and have lived there for 50 years! Most recently, I have watched SDG&E incessantly lobby to increase monthly minimum bills and against Community Choice. And now this. An inevitable low-ball franchise bid enabled by the City setting the minimum at less than 1% of current market value, with no audit oversights and no tie to the Climate Action Plan. Please, please protect the City and San Diego citizens by mandating a better deal!  |

|                |                   |          |                      |     |   |
|----------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|---|
| 8/4/2020 15:03 | Anne Marie Tipton | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | The franchise agreement represents one of the City of San Diego's most financially valuable public assets. The minimum bid must be much higher to achieve our clean energy goals. The Minimum Bid must reflect the fair market value of the contract. The current \$62 million Minimum Bid is set at less than 1% of the reported value of the \$37 billion agreements. Using the City's own best practices for real estate and business transactions outlined in the consultant's report, the City must raise the Minimum Bid to reflect fair market value compensation for exchanging our streets for corporate profit.   |
| 8/4/2020 15:06 | Alby Quinlan      | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | I'm concerned about our climate and economy and am counting on you, the City Council, to ensure that we get the very best deal for this very valuable asset. The council should request that the minimum bid be much higher to reflect the value of the franchise agreement and that at least 50% of the bid be directed to very low and low access to opportunity areas identified in the City's Climate Equity Index. The agreement must hold the franchisee accountable by conducting biennial audits with set metrics to protect the City and San Diego families. I urge you to incorporate these details into the new franchise agreements.                  |
| 8/4/2020 16:43 | Huy tran          | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | The current Franchise Agreement was last negotiated 50 years ago. With a public asset worth at least \$6.4 billion at stake, the future of our electricity and gas distribution systems meaningful process with more transparency and public consultation, to consider all possible solutions, instead of giving SDG&E shareholders the backroom deal for which they are lobbying. I urge the councilmembers to send the proposal back to the Environmental Committee to initiate a proper, fiscally responsible, community-engaged franchise process, as well as considering the option of a city-owned public energy utility that is beneficial to San Diegans. |

|                |                 |          |                      |     |   |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|-----|---|
| 8/4/2020 16:43 | Shay miller     | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | The current Franchise Agreement was last negotiated 50 years ago. With a public asset worth at least \$6.4 billion at stake, the future of our electricity and gas distribution systems meaningful process with more transparency and public consultation, to consider all possible solutions, instead of giving SDG&E shareholders the backroom deal for which they are lobbying. I urge the councilmembers to send the proposal back to the Environmental Committee to initiate a proper, fiscally responsible, community-engaged franchise process, as well as considering the option of a city-owned public energy utility that is beneficial to San Diegans.                                   |
| 8/4/2020 16:45 | KIMBERLY KISHON | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | As a lifelong San Diegan who's concerned about our climate and economy I'm counting on you, my representation in the City Council, to ensure that we get the very best deal for this valuable asset. The council should request that the minimum bid be much higher to reflect the value of the franchise agreement and that at least 50% of the bid be directed to very low and low access to opportunity areas identified in the City's Climate Equity Index. The agreement must hold the franchisee accountable by conducting biennial audits with set metrics to protect the City and San Diego families. I urge you to incorporate these details into the new franchise agreements. Thank you! |

|               |   |          |                      |     |  |
|---------------|---|----------|----------------------|-----|--|
| 8/5/2020 7:48 | Richard Schulman<br>Commander, US Navy<br>(Retired) | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | Climate Change is real and a must be reversed; sustainability needs to be a primary focus for the Franchise renewal. For example: I installed one of the earliest rooftop solar systems in San Diego and a second system when I bought an all-electric Nissan Leaf ten years ago. No gas purchases for ten years, no electric bill; the irony is that SDG&E would not let me install battery backup system for night use even though auto switching inverters and batteries were available. Second example: UTC Westfield 350,000 sq ft shopping center expansion: I asked the senior management why they were not installing additional garage roofs with solar panels; their response SDG&E will not let us generate that much electricity. These examples demonstrate why the San Diego City Council and Mayor must negotiate a very strong, pro sustainability Franchise Contract for the survival of our planet. The SDG&E argument for balancing the day/night load is false; battery backup systems are now much less expensive and very feasible. Also there are many other technologies available for sustainable electricity production... the silicon valley tech companies use these sustainable technologies to power their entire headquarters and save corporate dollars. San Diego should be resolute! |
| 8/5/2020 8:13 | Pia Piscitelli                                      | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | My name is Pia Piscitelli and I live in La Mesa. La Mesa is a part of San Diego Community Power with the City of San Diego. I'm concerned that the City Council is not putting in the time that is needed to make sure we get the best deal for the City's most financially valuable public assets. As an example, the consultant's recommended \$62 million Minimum Bid is less than 1% of the reported value of the \$37 billion agreements. The Minimum Bid needs to reflect the fair market value of the contract, and should be paid by shareholders, not ratepayers. We already pay enough! Us ratepayers already pay some of the highest rates in the US, and we deserve a Franchisee who's a partner in our clean energy goals and who prioritizes our clean energy goals. I urge you to raise the Minimum Bid to reflect fair market value compensation for exchanging our streets for corporate profit. Thank you.   |

|                |              |          |                      |     |   |
|----------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|-----|---|
| 8/5/2020 9:09  | Mary England | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | <p>Dear Honorable Councilmembers</p> <p>As a former City of Lemon Grove Councilmember and current President of the La Mesa Chamber of Commerce I know the challenges of making difficult decisions that impact constituents and our communities. As you consider new electric and gas franchise contracts, I encourage you to consider your City already has a very good deal; the city of San Diego collects \$130 million every year from ratepayers. In comparison, the cities of La Mesa or Lemon Grove collect a mere \$796K and \$249k, respectively. The figures are dramatic and show the extremely lucrative deal the City of San Diego is risking by potentially changing the framework of the current franchise.</p> <p>SDG&amp;E is not your average energy provider. We have witnessed them take a leadership role in keeping our communities safe from the threat of wildfires, invest in innovative smart grid technologies and aggressively pursue clean transportation projects to reduce pollution on roadways.</p> <p>Please consider the impacts of requiring a longstanding local company to pay a large sum of money to provide service we all rely on. Our communities may benefit more from longstanding commitments in the form of services, non-profit support and partnerships.</p> <p>Sincerely,<br/>Mary England</p> |
| 8/5/2020 11:07 | Luke Stroth  | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | <p>Having lived in SD for 5 years (and intending to live here for many more) I am concerned about ensuring that we get the best energy deals for our people. The minimum bid must be much higher to achieve our clean energy goals. The Minimum Bid must reflect the fair market value of the contract. The current \$62 million Minimum Bid is set at less than 1% of the reported value of the \$37 billion agreements. Using the City's own best practices for real estate and business transactions outlined in the consultant's report, the City must raise the Minimum Bid to reflect fair market value compensation for exchanging our streets for corporate profit.</p>   |

|                |                    |          |                      |     |  |
|----------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|--|
| 8/5/2020 14:25 | Colleen FitzSimons | 8/6/2020 | City Council Comment | 601 | As a Sustainability Consultant living in North City West, it is imperative that we get a minimum bid in a cash payment that is valued properly. The current proposal for \$62mm is less than 1% of the reported value. Additionally, this payment must be placed into a separate CAP fund since we know that SDG&E will not be a partner in helping San Diego meet its CAP goals. At least half of these funds must be directed to very low and low access to opportunity areas identified in the City's Climate Equity Index. |
|----------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|--|